

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9  
10

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11

No. C 06-7339 CW

12 THOMAS FERNANDEZ, LORA SMITH, and  
13 TOSHA THOMAS, individually and on  
behalf of a class of all other  
14 persons similarly situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 K-M INDUSTRIES HOLDING CO., INC., et  
al.,

18 Defendants.

19 /  
ORDER GRANTING IN PART  
DEFENDANT NORTH STAR  
TRUST'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE UNDER  
SEAL (Docket No. 150)  
AND GRANTING NORTH  
STAR'S MOTION FOR AN  
ORDER REMOVING SEALABLE  
INFORMATION FROM THE  
PUBLIC RECORD (Docket  
No. 162)

20 Defendant North Star Trust Co. moves for leave to file under  
21 seal portions of its brief in support of its motion for summary  
22 judgment, as well as Exhibits 7, 20, 21, 22, 23, 41, 42, 44, 46,  
23 52, 55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 71 and 73 and portions of Exhibit 66 to the  
24 Declaration of Nicole A. Diller submitted in support of its motion  
25 for summary judgment. These documents contain information that was  
26 designated as confidential by Defendant K-M Industries Holding Co.,  
27 Inc. (KMH). In addition, North Star mistakenly filed in the public  
28 record certain other information that KMH had designated as

1 confidential. North Star therefore moves separately for an order  
2 removing the following information from the public record: Exhibits  
3 3, 11, 33 and 75 to the Diller declaration, as well as portions of  
4 Exhibits 1, 2, 9, 67 and 72 to the Diller Declaration.

5 North Star's requests are made in connection with a  
6 dispositive motion. Accordingly, the documents may not be filed  
7 under seal unless there is a "compelling interest" in doing so.  
8 Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass'n, 504 F.3d 792, 801-03 (9th Cir.  
9 2007). This is because the public interest favors filing all court  
10 documents in the public record. A compelling interest cannot be  
11 established simply by showing that the document is subject to a  
12 protective order or is considered confidential by a party, but  
13 rather must be supported by a sworn declaration demonstrating with  
14 particularity the need to file each document or portion thereof  
15 under seal. See id.; Local Rule 79-5(a). If a document has been  
16 designated as confidential by another party, that party must file a  
17 declaration establishing good cause to file the document under  
18 seal. Local Rule 79-5(d).

19 KMH has filed two declaration in support of North Star's  
20 motions. In one declaration, KMH withdraws its designation of  
21 confidentiality as to the information North Star previously  
22 submitted under seal, except with respect to the following  
23 documents or portions thereof:

- 24       •     Exhibit 66, page 202, line 3 and page 260, lines 2-3;  
25       •     Exhibit 71;  
26       •     Exhibit 73; and  
27       •     Motion for summary judgment, page 12, lines 17-28 and

1               lines 22-23.

2 KMH has established that these documents or portions thereof  
3 contain sensitive financial information or attorney-client  
4 communications for which a compelling interest exists to file under  
5 seal.

6               KMH has likewise established that the following information,  
7 which North Star previously submitted in the public record, is  
8 sealable:

- 9               •     Exhibit 1, pages KMH 1440-44, KMH 1446 and KMH 1448-74;
- 10              •     Exhibit 2, pages 1-3, 5-6, 10-16, 20, 23-24, 31-37 and  
11              52;
- 12              •     Exhibit 3;
- 13              •     Exhibit 9, pages 171-172;
- 14              •     Exhibit 11;
- 15              •     Exhibit 33;
- 16              •     Exhibit 67, page 2, paragraphs 2 and 3;
- 17              •     Exhibit 72, page 54; and
- 18              •     Exhibit 75.

19               Accordingly, North Star's motion for leave to file under seal  
20 is GRANTED IN PART and North Star's motion for an order removing  
21 sealable information from the public record is GRANTED. The clerk  
22 shall file under seal North Star's motion for summary judgment and  
23 Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 9, 11, 33, 66, 67, 71, 72, 73 and 75 to the  
24 Diller declaration. North Star must re-submit these documents to  
25 the clerk in a form suitable for filing, in compliance with Local

1 Rule 79-5.<sup>1</sup> The clerk shall also remove the previously filed  
2 Diller declaration (Docket No. 148), as well as all exhibits  
3 thereto, from the public record.

4 North Star shall file in the public record via ECF a version  
5 of its motion for summary judgment redacting only those portions,  
6 identified above, as to which KMH has not withdrawn its designation  
7 of confidentiality. North Star shall also re-file in the public  
8 record via ECF the Diller declaration and all exhibits thereto,  
9 omitting or redacting only those documents or portions thereof  
10 identified as sealable in this order.

11 Because the documents previously lodged with the Court are not  
12 suitable for filing, the Clerk shall hold them for three days for  
13 North Star to retrieve them, and, thereafter, if they are not  
14 retrieved, dispose of them.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16  
17 Dated: 7/11/08  
18



---

CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

24  
25       <sup>1</sup>The exhibits should be contained in a single document with  
26 substantially the same title as, "Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 9, 11, 33, 66,  
27 67, 71, 72, 73 and 75 to the Declaration of Nicole A. Diller in  
Support of North Star Trust Company's Motion for Summary Judgment."  
The document must contain the complete version of each of these  
exhibits, not just the redacted portions.